

The wave resolvent for compactly supported perturbations of static spacetimes

joint work with

Michał Wrochna (Utrecht University)

Groningen, February 2024

Ruben Zeitoun (Cergy Paris Université)

Introduction

Consider a **Lorentzian manifold** (M, g) .

The metric has signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$.

For instance Minkowski space: \mathbb{R}^{1+d} , $g_0 = dt^2 - dy_1^2 - \dots - dy_{n-1}^2$.

The Lorentzian **Laplace–Beltrami operator** or **wave operator**:

$$\square_g = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |g(x)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x^i} |g(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{ij}(x) \partial_{x^j}.$$

On Minkowski space, $\square_g = \partial_t^2 - (\partial_{y_1}^2 + \dots + \partial_{y_{n-1}}^2)$

Recently: \square_g not elliptic but has a reasonable global **spectral theory!**

Techniques of **microlocal** and **asymptotic analysis**.

Dereziński–Siemssen '18, Vasy '20, Nakamura–Taira '20, Mizutani–Tzvetkov '14,

Gérard–Wrochna '19, Colin de Verdière–Le Bihan '20, Taira '20–'23,

Nakamura–Taira '22, Frahm, Spilioti '23, Wrochna–Zeitoun '23, Mizutani '24 ...

MOTIVATION

Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian manifold of dimension n with g having signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$. Let $\square_g = |g(x)|^{-1/2} \partial_{x^j} |g(x)|^{1/2} g^{jk}(x) \partial_{x^k}$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator for the metric g .

Theorem [Dang–Wrochna '23]

Suppose (M, g) is an *asymptotically Minkowski* space of even dimension $n \geq 4$ (then \square_g is *essentially self-adjoint* by [Vasy '20]). For all $\epsilon > 0$, the Schwartz kernel of $(\square_g - i\epsilon)^{-\alpha}$ has for $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \frac{n}{2}$ a well-defined on-diagonal restriction $(\square_g - i\epsilon)^{-\alpha}(x, x)$ which extends as a meromorphic function of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{res}_{\alpha = \frac{n}{2} - 1} (\square_g - i\epsilon)^{-\alpha}(x, x) = \frac{R_g(x)}{i6(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - 1)},$$

where $R_g(x)$ is the *scalar curvature* at $x \in M$.

- ❓ But how about other classes of spacetimes? And is there a *simpler* model to understand this?

HYPOTHESES

Let (Y, h) be a Riemannian metric of dimension $n - 1$ (where $n \geq 2$), and let (M, g_0) be $M = \mathbb{R} \times Y$ equipped with a Lorentzian metric of the **static** form

$$g_0 = \beta dt^2 - h = \beta^2(y)dt^2 - h_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j,$$

for some positive $\beta \in C^\infty(Y)$. Let g be another smooth Lorentzian metric on M . We assume:

Hypothesis 1

We assume that:

- ▶ the Riemannian manifold (Y, h) is **complete**;
- ▶ g is a **compactly supported perturbation** of g_0 ;
- ▶ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C < \beta(y) < C^{-1}$ for all $y \in Y$;
- ▶ (M, g_0) and (M, g) are **globally hyperbolic spacetimes** (mild non-trapping condition, implies well-posed Cauchy problem).

RESULTS

Theorem

Assume Hypothesis 1. Then \square_g is *essentially self-adjoint* on $C_c^\infty(M)$ in $L^2(M, g)$.

Theorem

Assume Hypothesis 1. Then the wave resolvent $(\square_g - z)^{-1}$ has *Feynman wavefront set*. More precisely, let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\theta \in]0, \pi/2[$. Then for $|\arg z - \pi/2| < \theta$, $|z| \geq \epsilon$, the uniform operator wavefront set of $(\square_g - z)^{-1}$ of order s and weight $\langle z \rangle^{-1/2}$ satisfies

$$\text{WF}'_{\langle z \rangle^{-1/2}}^{(s)}((\square_g - z)^{-1}) \subset \Lambda,$$

where Λ is the (primed) Feynman wavefront set.

(replaces smoothness of the resolvent outside of the diagonal)

BACKGROUND

Consider first the **ultra-static** (i.e., product) case $\beta = 1$. Then

$$\square_{g_0} = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_h.$$

Lemma

\square_{g_0} is *essentially self-adjoint* on $C_c^\infty(M)$ in $L^2(M, g_0)$.

Proof.

▶ ∂_t^2 is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$,

▶ Δ_h is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(Y)$ in $L^2(Y, h)$,

so $\partial_t^2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \Delta_h$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{alg}} C_c^\infty(Y)$, which is dense in $C_c^\infty(M)$, in

$$L^2(M, dt^2 + h) = L^2(M, dt^2 - h) = L^2(M, g_0).$$

□

FRAMEWORK

We denote P_0 the closure of $\square_{g_0} = \partial_t - \Delta_h$, and L_0 the closure of its elliptic version $-\partial_t^2 - \Delta_h$. We define

$$H^s(M) := (1 + L_0)^{-\frac{s}{2}} L^2(M, g_0)$$

Let $U : L^2(M, g) \rightarrow L^2(M, g_0)$ be the multiplication by $|g_0(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |g(x)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It is bounded and boundedly invertible since $\text{supp}(g - g_0)$ is compact. We now define

$$P := U^* \square_g U.$$

Then $\text{supp}(P - P_0)$ is compact, and \square_g is self-adjoint in $L^2(M, g)$ iff P is self-adjoint in $L^2(M, g_0)$.

CRITERION FOR SELFADJOINTNESS

P is essentially self-adjoint in $L^2(M, g_0)$ iff

$$\forall u_{\pm} \in L^2(M, g_0) \text{ s.t. } (P \pm i)u_{\pm} = 0, u_{\pm} = 0.$$

For $u_{\pm} \in L^2(M, g_0)$, if $(P \pm i)u_{\pm} = 0$, then

$$\pm 2i \|u_{\pm}\|_{L^2}^2 = (Pu_{\pm}|u_{\pm})_{L^2} - (u_{\pm}, Pu_{\pm})_{L^2}.$$

So if $u_{\pm} \in H^2(M)$, integration by part implies $u_{\pm} = 0$. Therefore we only have to prove

$$\forall u_{\pm} \in L^2(M, g_0) \text{ s.t. } (P \pm i)u_{\pm} = 0, u_{\pm} \in H^2(M).$$

GLOBAL REGULARITY

Lemma

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ and suppose $u \in L^2(M) \cap H_{\text{loc}}^{k+1}(M)$ satisfies $(P - i)u = 0$. Then $u \in H^k(M)$.

Set $N_\epsilon = (1 + L_0)^{1/2}(1 + \epsilon L_0)^{-1/2}$, $\epsilon \geq 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$, $N_\epsilon \in \Psi^0(M) \cap B(L^2(M))$, hence $N_\epsilon^{2k}u \in L^2(M) \cap H_{\text{loc}}^{k+1}(M)$. Let $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$ be such that $\psi = 0$ in a neighborhood of $\text{supp}(P - P_0)$ and $\psi = 1$ on the complement of some compact set. Then,

$$P_0(\psi u) = P(\psi u) = \psi P u + [P, \psi]u = -i\psi u + B u,$$

where $B := [P, \psi] \in \Psi^1$ with compact support, so $B u \in H^k(M)$. P_0, L_0 commutes and are self-adjoint so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (N_\epsilon^{2k}(\psi u) | P_0(\psi u))_{L^2} - (P_0(\psi u) | N_\epsilon^{2k}(\psi u))_{L^2} \\ &= 2i \text{Im}(N_\epsilon^{2k}(\psi u) | P_0(\psi u))_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

GLOBAL REGULARITY

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2i \operatorname{Im}(N_\varepsilon^{2k}(\psi u) | -i\psi u + Bu)_{L^2} \\ &= 2\|N_\varepsilon^k(\psi u)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2i \operatorname{Im}(N_\varepsilon^{2k}(\psi u) | Bu)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have,

$$\|N_\varepsilon^k(\psi u)\|_{L^2}^2 = |\operatorname{Im}(N_\varepsilon^{2k}(\psi u), Bu)_{L^2}| \leq \|N_\varepsilon^k(\psi u)\|_{L^2} \|N_\varepsilon^k Bu\|_{L^2},$$

hence $\|N_\varepsilon^k(\psi u)\|_{L^2} \leq \|N_\varepsilon^k Bu\|_{L^2}$. Since $L_0 \geq 0$, $N_\varepsilon \leq N_{\varepsilon'}$ for $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Moreover, $N_0^k Bu \in L^2(M)$ since $Bu \in H^k(M)$. Therefore, by monotone convergence, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we get

$$\|N_0^k(\psi u)\|_{L^2} \leq \|N_0^k Bu\|_{L^2} < +\infty.$$

Since $N_0 = \langle L_0 \rangle$, this implies $\psi u \in H^k(M)$ as claimed.

PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Definition

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The space of **symbols** of order m $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of functions $a(x, \xi)$ such that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and some $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$,

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|}.$$

Definition

For $a \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its **quantization** is the operator

$$\text{Op}(a)u = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

The space of **pseudo-differential operators** of order m :

$$\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^n) := \text{Op}(S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

The **principal symbol** of $A \in \Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is

$$\sigma_m(A) := [\text{Op}^{-1}(A)] \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus S^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

WAVEFRONT SET

Definition

Let $A \in \Psi^m(M)$. The **elliptic set** of A , $\text{Ell}(A) \subset T^*M \setminus o$, consists of all $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*M \setminus o$ for which $\exists c, C, \epsilon > 0$ s.t.

$$|\sigma_m(A)(x, \xi)| \geq c|\xi|^m, \quad |\xi| \geq C, \quad |x - x_0| + \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} \right| < \epsilon.$$

The **characteristic set** is $\text{Char}(A) = (T^*M \setminus o) \setminus \text{Ell}(A)$.

Definition

The **wavefront set** of a distribution u is the conic subset of $T^*M \setminus o$

$$\text{WF}(u) = \bigcap_{A \in \Psi^\infty(M), Au \in C^\infty(M)} \text{Char}(A).$$

We have that $\text{WF}(u) = \emptyset$ iff $u \in C^\infty$.

PROPAGATION OF SINGULARITIES

Definition

Let $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^n dx_i \wedge d\xi_i$ be the canonical symplectic form of M . To any $f \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ we define the **Hamiltonian flow** of f as

$$H_f = \omega(df, \cdot).$$

Let $p(x, \xi) = \sigma_m(P)$ for $P \in \Psi^m(M)$. The (null) **bicharacteristics** of P are the integral curves in T^*M generated by H_p contained (or starting at, since $H_p p = \omega(dp, dp) = 0$) in

$$\Sigma := \{p = 0\} = \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-.$$

Theorem [Hörmander]

Suppose $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ has a real-valued homogeneous principal symbol, and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ is such that $Pu \in C^\infty(M)$. Then $\text{WF}(u) \subset \text{Char}(P)$ is a union of maximally extended null-bicharacteristics of P .

LOCAL REGULARITY

It is known that for $f \in L^2(M)$ and $\text{Im } z > 0$,

$$((P_0 - z)^{-1}f)(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-i|t-s|\sqrt{-\Delta_h - z}}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_h - z}} f(s) ds.$$

For $u \in L^2(M)$ such that $(P - i)u = 0$, we have $(P_0 - i)u = (P_0 - P)u$, so

$$u(t, \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-i|t-s|\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i}}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i}} ((P - P_0)u)(s, \cdot) ds$$

Let $T > 0$ be such that $\text{supp}(P - P_0) \subset [-T, T] \times Y$. If $\pm t > T$,

$$u(t, \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} e^{\mp it\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i}} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{\pm is\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i}}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i}} ((P - P_0)u)(s, \cdot) ds$$

Therefore $(\partial_t \pm i\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i})u = 0$ for $\pm t > T$.

LOCAL REGULARITY

$(\partial_t \pm i\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i})$ is not a pseudo-differential operator but morally it has for principal symbol $\xi_t \pm |\xi_Y|_h$, and

$$\text{Char}(\partial_t \pm i\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i}) \subset \Sigma_{\mp}.$$

We can construct $B_{\pm} \in \Psi^0(M)$ from $(\partial_t \pm i\sqrt{-\Delta_h - i})$ s.t. that

$$B_{\pm}u = 0 \text{ for } \pm t > T \text{ and } \text{Char}(B_{\pm}) \subset \Sigma_{\mp}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{WF}(u) \subset (T^*(] - \infty, -T[\times Y) \cap \Sigma_+) \cup (T^*(]T, +\infty[\times Y) \cap \Sigma_-).$$

which contains no maximally extended null-bicharacteristic since (M, g) is non-trapping.

This shows that $\text{WF}(u) = \emptyset$, and therefore $u \in C^\infty$. Which concludes the proof of the selfadjunction of P !

GENERAL STATIC CASE

In the general case we have $P_0 = \beta \partial_t^2 - \Delta_h$. To return to the framework of an ultrastatic background we define

$$\tilde{P}_0 = \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_0 \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \partial_t^2 - \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_h \beta^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ and } \tilde{P} = \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} P \beta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $\beta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a multiplication, bounded with bounded inverse,

- ▶ \tilde{P}_0 and \tilde{P} are differential operators,
- ▶ \tilde{P}_0 and \tilde{P} are self-adjoint iff P_0 and P are,
- ▶ $\text{supp}(\tilde{P} - \tilde{P}_0)$ is compact,
- ▶

$$((\tilde{P}_0 - z)^{-1} f)(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-i|t-s| \sqrt{-\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_h \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} - z}}}{\sqrt{-\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_h \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} - z}} f(s) ds.$$

OTHER SETTINGS, OUTLOOK


asymptotically Minkowski spaces

(= Lorentzian version of asymptotically Euclidean)

\square_g is **essentially self-adjoint** by Vasy '20 and Nakamura–Taira '20. This uses **microlocal positive commutator estimates at ∞ (radial estimates)** + propagation of singularities. Mizutani '24 shows that the spectrum is **continuous, no embedded eigenvalues**.

asymptotically de Sitter spaces

(= Lorentzian version or asymptotically hyperbolic)

 *Work in progress:* Using radial estimates and conformal methods, **essential self-adjointness** of \square_g , **microlocal resolvent estimates** and **spectral action**. In n dimensions,

$$\sigma(\square_g) \cap \left] 1 - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}, +\infty \right[\text{ is a set of } \underline{\text{isolated points!}}$$

(cf. Spilioti–Frahm '23)

OTHER SETTINGS, OUTLOOK

asymptotically Minkowski spaces

(= Lorentzian version of asymptotically Euclidean)

\square_g is **essentially self-adjoint** by Vasy '20 and Nakamura–Taira '20. This uses **microlocal positive commutator estimates at ∞ (radial estimates)** + propagation of singularities. Mizutani '24 shows that the spectrum is **continuous, no embedded eigenvalues**.

asymptotically de Sitter spaces

(= Lorentzian version or asymptotically hyperbolic)

✂ *Work in progress:* Using radial estimates and conformal methods, **essential self-adjointness** of \square_g , **microlocal resolvent estimates** and **spectral action**. In n dimensions,

$$\sigma(\square_g) \cap \left] 1 - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}, +\infty \right[\text{ is a set of isolated points!}$$

(cf. Spilioti–Frahm '23)

Thank you for your attention!